

#### brookhaven - fermilab - berkeley - stanford

# <u>LARP – technical planning</u> Steve Peggs

Now is the season for intensified communication between CERN and LARP:

Oct 1 New LARP budget for FY07

Oct 4 This meeting

Oct 11 LHC Joint Oversight Group (DOE & NSF)

Oct 16-20 CARE LUMI-06, Valencia

Oct 25-27 LARP Collaboration Meeting

Oct 31 LARP Executive Committee meeting

Nov 13 CERN-US Committee mtg



## FY07 budget (Oct 06 – Sept 07)

#### LARP FY07 budget version v2a

Sept 27, 2006			Total	FY 2007			
WBS				BNL	FNAL	LBNL	SLAC
US LH	IC Accelerator Research Program		11000	2664	2688	4182	878
1	Accelerator Systems	Shiltsev	3611	648	821	1314	828
1.1	Instrumentation	Ratti	1506	315	5 222	969	0
1.2	Commissioning	Syphers	540	48	329	145	5 18
1.3	Collimation	Markiewicz	930	135	5 15	C	780
1.4	Accelerator Physics	Fischer	635	150	255	200	30
2	Magnet R&D	Kerby	5212	1243	1494	2475	
2.1	Design Studies	Zlobin	526	150	254	122	2
2.2	Model Magnet R&D	Sabbi	1975	61	1 896	1018	3
2.3	Supporting R&D	Ambrosio	1377	773	3 113	491	
2.4	Materials	Ghosh	1334	259	9 231	844	
3	Program Management	Peggs	2177	773	373	393	50

### Program Management (\$2.2M, 20%) includes

- Toohig Fellows Calaga (AS) 50% at CERN, Felice (MRD)
- Programmatic Travel and Management (Labor)
- Contingency (\$0.6M, 5.3%)



# Accelerator Systems (\$3.6M, 33%)

Four Hard Deliverables jointly identified by LARP and CERN:

- 1) Luminosity Monitors (\$1.0M)
  A review held on April 24 noted good progress. FY07 is crucial.
- 2) Tune Feedback (\$0.31M) Excellent progress. "Final Design Review" Oct 24. Winding down.
- 3) Beam and Instrumentation Commissioning.
  A vetting procedure needs to be established to ensure excellence.
  Part of "Long Term Visitor" planning/issue
- 4) Rotatable Collimators (\$0.8M)

  This longer time scale task is ramping up



# AS – continuing tasks

Schottky Monitor (\$0.12M)

Successful, winding down.

Interaction Region and Hardware Commissioning (\$0.48M)

+ significant financial support for HC from FNAL & LBNL

HC Memorandum of Understanding is days away from done In excellent shape & hands (Saban & Lamm)

Cleaning Efficiency Studies (\$0.05M)

Nearing completion

Tertiary Collimator Study (\$0.02M)

Irradiation Studies (\$0.08M)

Increasing interest

Electron Cloud (\$0.20M)

IR & Beam-Beam (\$0.27M)

Beam-Beam Wires (\$0.15M)

Code development

Re-evaluate after Oct CARE/Valencia mtg

RHIC is the only test-bed. Observables?

Last 3 items – Accelerator Physics under Fischer – need careful attention at the Collaboration Meeting, Oct 25.



## AS – NEW tasks

#### AC Dipole (\$0.08M)

Needs integration with (and communication from) new LHC effort

Task leader Sacha Kopp is from University of Texas at Austin

- is also seeking (modest) NSF funding
- (How) does LARP incorporate new instituions & funding sources?

#### New Initiative Feasibility Studies (\$0.02M)

Place holder (this year). LER? Longitudinal photon monitors? ....



## Magnet R&D (\$5.2M, 47%)

One Hard Deliverable:

"Demonstrate by 2009 that Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn magnets are a viable choice for an LHC IR upgrade"

Lucio has already shown that:

FY07 is an exciting transition year

Initial TQC/S results are very promising

Length experiments are coming on-line

Studies and Designs for the next steps are ramping up

What are LARPs technical goals then (assuming success)?

Continued R&D collaboration with CERN/CARE

LARP is an R&D program

U.S. magnet construction (if any) would be separately funded



## Magnet R&D collaboration

#### LARPs mission statement:

- 1) Help LHC make more luminosity
- 2) Exploit/develop/maintain U.S. accelerator science and technology by performing cuttting edge R&D

CERNs perspective on LARP may be different. For example,

- 1) Help LHC make more luminosity
- 2) Maximize the funding stream from the U.S.

Superficially, this makes CERN appear more interested than LARP in a short U.S. production run of IR upgrade magnets!

In fact, it is in all our interests for CERN to write an institutional letter of support/collaboration for the LARP technical program.

Our DOE allies crave such formal evidence, in their efforts to extrapolate LARP funding "guidance" beyond FY09



## Enhanced technical communication

#### Use/modify 4 existing mechanisms

- 1) More CERN attendance at semi-annual collaboration meetings
- 2) Re-invent the CERN-U.S. Committee ("done" management?)
- 3) Observe at (participate in) DOE reviews
- 4) Regular phone, video and webex-style conferences

#### Liaisons

- 1) LARP "local leader" currently Limon
- 2) CERN L2 linkmen work with LARP L2s
- 3) "Long Term Visitor" CERN linkmen